Introduction

Synthetic dyes are extensively used in several industries such as textile, leather tanning, food, cosmetics etc (1). Dyes with $N = N$ bonds and sulfonic groups in their structures are considered as acid and belong to the azo-dye class (2). Among all kinds of coloring materials, Azo dyes, such as Acid Red 18 (AR18), have the widest application due to the variety in the chemical structure and easy production (3). These dyes usually include one or more functional groups and complex aromatic structures, which make them very resistant to biodegradation. Therefore, when improperly discharged, they can stay in the environment for long periods of times, which can be a serious threat for health and interfere vital biological procedures (1).

Since, unsanitary disposal of wastewater can cause major environmental problems and health issues, therefore, there is an immediate need for methods which can effectively treat industrial wastewater (4). So far, various physicochemical methods such as ultra-filtration, reverse osmosis, coagulation and flocculation, ion exchange, biological methods, adsorption and membrane processes, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), and other methods have been utilized for treating the colored wastewaters (5). The most commonly used physicochemical methods for dye removal are often non-degrading, ineffective, and expensive, and result in the production of a large volume of sludge, which has the problem of treatment and disposal (6). In recent decades, the AOPs have been...
significantly developed and are of great importance in the treatment of industrial wastewater, in particular, the wastewaters containing the refractory organic residues that the conventional treatment methods are not able to treat them (7). The electrochemical technique is an important process for separating and controlling various types of pollutants. The main advantages of this method are: safety, simplicity, high speed, short retention time to remove the contaminants, easy operation, low chemicals consumption, relative energy efficiency and costs (8). The mechanism for pollutants removal in the electrochemical process is complex, in which depending on different wastewater contaminants, electrode materials are used (9). Electrochemical treatment of wastewaters containing organic compounds, is classified into two categories: indirect oxidation using an electro-generated oxidation agent such as hypochlorite or hydrogen peroxide and direct oxidation (on the anode). Hydrogen peroxide is produced through electrochemical reduction of oxygen on carbon cathode (10). In indirect oxidation, adsorbed hydroxyl radicals are supposed to be generated from water electrolysis (equation 1), and in some cases, they may interact with active anode to form higher metal oxide (MO) (equation 2). Thus, organic pollutants will be oxidized in three different ways by adsorbed hydroxyl radicals, higher MO oxide (equation 3) or free hydroxyl radicals (9).

\[
\begin{align*}
M + H_2O & \rightarrow M(OH) + H^+ + e^- \\
M(OH) & \rightarrow MO + H^+ + e^- \\
MO + R & \rightarrow M + RO
\end{align*}
\]

The electrochemical method has been successfully tested in various industrial wastewater treatment plants (11). So far, a large number of research has been conducted on the electrochemical processes. Mozia et al, in a study investigated photo catalytic degradation of AR18, and concluded that photo catalytic process can be an effective method for degradation of Azo dyes (5). In another study, Guivarch et al investigated the degradation of azo dyes in water by electro-Fenton process. In this study, platinum and carbon were used as anode and cathode, respectively. The results of this study showed that degradation kinetics of Azo dye followed the first-order reaction and, eventually, this dye was mineralized into CO₂ and H₂O by the electro-Fenton process (12). In a study conducted by Dalvand et al, the efficacy of electrochemical process for dye treatment was evaluated, and it was revealed that the electrochemical process is an effective method for removing the dye, and in the optimal operational conditions, the removal of dye and chemical oxygen demand (COD) was 99% and 66.6%, respectively (13). Furthermore, in a study conducted by Mehralipour et al, the electrochemical process was used to remove the dye, and it was concluded that this process has a high ability to remove the dye (14). Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the efficiency of electrochemical process using titanium anode electrode and graphite felt cathode in removing the AR18 dye and COD from aqueous solutions by taking into account the effects of various factors.

Materials and Methods
Experimental setup and procedure

In this study, the AR18 dye, with characteristics listed in Table 1, produced by Sigma Aldrich Company, was used. Hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, sodium chloride and other chemicals used in the experiments, were of analytical grade and obtained from the Merck Co., Germany. The wastewater used in this study was prepared at a concentration of 1000 mg/L as the stock solution, and the samples containing required concentration (25-150 mg/L) were prepared using stock solution and used. To determine the maximum absorbance wavelength of AR18 dye, DR-6000 spectrophotometer apparatus (HACH, Germany) was used. The best wavelength in terms of maximum absorbance was selected for AR18 dye. As shown in Figure 1, the wavelength of 507 nm was selected as the maximum absorbance wavelength of AR18 dye for this study. Different concentrations of dye solution were prepared to draw a standard curve diagram and their absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer at 507 nm, then, a standard curve was drawn. The batch flow reactor consisted of an electrochemical cell and is made of Plexiglas with useful volume of 500 mL and was shown in Figure 2. Titanium and graphite felt electrodes with dimensions of 5 × 2 × 5 cm were used as anode and cathode.

### Table 1. Chemical properties of AR18 dye

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>C₅₇H₃₇N₇O₃S₅Na₂P₂Si₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight</td>
<td>604.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD of 1g-AR18/L</td>
<td>597±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λmax</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical structure</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS – NO.</td>
<td>2611-82-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. Maximum Absorbance Wavelength of Acid Dye 18.](image)
respectively, and they were placed vertically inside the reactor and the distance between the electrodes was kept at 2 cm. The total effective surface of the electrodes was 50 cm$^2$. Both electrodes were connected to direct current (DC) power by the extension cord. To improve ionic strength and to increase the electrical conductivity of the solution, the NaCl electrolyte was added to the solution at a constant concentration of 0.1M in all experiments. To obtain the desired value of pH, the initial pH of the solution was adjusted using 0.01 N sodium hydroxide and chloride acid. Moreover, a magnetic stirrer was used to make a uniform mixing in the reactor and its content was stirred at a constant speed of 300 rpm in all experiments. The electrolysis of the solution was initiated by setting an electric current through applying the determined current density, while the solution was aerating at a constant flow rate of 1 L/min using an aeration system (HAILEA air compressor, ACO-5505, China) with a fine bubble diffuser. At the end of the experiments, the suspension was filtered, and then, the outlet concentration was measured by UV/V is spectrophotometer at 507 nm. At each step of the experiments, the reactor was filled with 500 mL of dye solution and the effect of parameters including pH, initial concentration of dye, current density, and contact time were investigated. Each experiment was repeated three times, and the effect of variables on the optimization of decolorization and removal of COD from synthetic sewage was determined using Design-Expert 10 software with Taguchi design method and the related graphs were plotted using Excel 2016 software.

### Analytical method

To evaluate the individual effect of pH, initial dye concentration, current density, and reaction time on the removal of AR18 dye and COD, data were analyzed using Design-Expert software (Design-Expert 10 StatEase, Inc., USA). Taguchi orthogonal design model with 4 factors at 4 levels (Table 2) was used to optimize the electro-oxidation degradation process. The COD was determined by the standard method (5220D). The initial and final concentrations of AR18 dye were measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometer at the wavelength of 507 nm. The removal efficiency of dye and COD were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{AR18 or COD removal (\%)} = \left(\frac{C_0 - C_i}{C_0}\right) \times 100$$

Where $C_0$ is the initial concentration of dye and COD, and $C_i$ is the concentration at time ($t$) of the variables.

### Results

The effect of the factors studied on the removal of dye and COD

The results of evaluation of the effects of different parameters such as initial pH, flow density, initial concentration of dye, and reaction time on removal of dye and COD are shown in Figure 3 at the average level of each factor. As shown in Figure 3A, with an increase in pH value from 3 to 9, the removal efficiency of dye and COD was reduced from 59.93% and 49.34% to 47.37% and 37.39%, respectively. The results of evaluation of the effect of the current density on the dye and COD removal efficiency using the electrochemical process are shown in Figure 3B. As shown in this figure, the removal efficiency of dye and COD increased by increasing the current density. In other words, by increasing the current density from 10 to 25 mA/cm$^2$, the COD removal efficiency was increased from 32.71% to 47.79%. The results of Figure 3C show that by increasing the initial concentration of dye, the removal efficiency of dye and COD significantly decreased from 63.33% to 42.44% and from 48.82% to 33.98%, respectively. In addition, the results of Figure 3D show that by increasing the reaction time, the removal efficiency initially increased, and then, decreased. For

### Table 2. Experimental design parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A:pH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B:current density (mA/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:AR18 concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D:electrolysis time (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
example, with an increase in the reaction time from 15 to 45 minutes, the dye removal efficiency increased from 44.92% to 61.66%, then, by further increase in the reaction time to 60 minutes, the removal efficiency reduced to 49.46%.

Optimization of operational parameters
For maximizing removal efficiency of dye and COD, a numerical optimization was chosen. The favorite level for dye and COD removal efficiencies were defined as "maximize", while level for each independent variable were chosen as "in the range".

The desirability ramp for the numerical optimization diagram is presented in Figure 4. As shown in this figure, maximum removal efficiency of 88.39% for the dye and 71.65% for COD can be predicted with a desirability of 1.0 at optimum conditions: initial pH of 3, applied current density of 20 mA/cm², initial dye concentration of 25 mg/L, and reaction time of 45 minutes.

For confirming the adequacy and reproducibility of the statistically optimized conditions and removal of the dye and COD from synthetic wastewater, a verification test under the above-mentioned conditions was carried out. As a result, the highly acceptable removal efficiency of the dye and COD was 89% and 72.2%, respectively. The removal efficiencies were found to be in agreement with those predicted in the study, which implies a good prediction of the model. Furthermore, several proposed ramps that predict the highest removal efficiency, were used to evaluate the final removal efficiency for dye and COD, which was in agreement with the predicted optimum conditions. The finding suggest that the developed system is an appealing and strong solution for the improvement of synthetic wastewater electrochemical treatment.

Analysis of variance and the suitability of the model
In Tables 3 and 4, various statistical data (sum of squares, degrees of freedom, mean square, P value, and F value, etc), which were calculated by the Design Expert software, are presented. The F value of the model for removal of the dye and COD was 561.79 and 64.23, respectively, and the probability of presence of error for F value was only 0.01%.
In addition, the $P$ value of the model for removal of the dye and COD was smaller than 0.0001, which indicates that the model is meaningful and can be used to predict the desired goals. The results of model summary statistics are listed in Table 5. As shown in this table, the obtained coefficient of variation values for AR18 dye and COD removal efficiency were relatively low, equal to 2.28% and 7.30%, respectively. Furthermore, the “Predicted-R$^2$” for dye and COD removal efficiency was 0.99 and 0.91, so that it was in reasonable agreement with the “Adjusted-R$^2$” of 0.99 and 0.94, respectively, which indicates that the proposed equation ensures an appropriate approximation for the relationship between the independent variables and the response variable.

Figure 5 (A and B) shows the comparison between the actual values and predicted values for decolorization and COD removal efficiency, respectively. Comparison of the actual and predicted data of the removal efficiency showed that the predicted data were in good agreement with the actual data. Figure 6 also shows the normal probability of residuals distribution for removal of dye and COD. The results represented normal distribution of the residuals of the model, which indicates the high efficiency of the selected model for describing and predicting the removal of dye and COD by electrochemical processes.

### Discussion

#### Effect of pH

The results of Figure 3A show that the removal of AR18 dye and COD in the acidic environment was better. To describe the reason for this event, it can be stated that the capability of hydroxyl radicals in acidic conditions is more potentially high, thus, in acidic solutions, free radicals can be produced and organic materials can be easily oxidized (8). In addition, increase in the pH value leads to the production of a large amount of hydroxyl radical scavengers (such as carbonates and bicarbonates) that arise due to the mineralization of organic matter and, as a result, reduces the degradability (15). Eslami et al investigated the dye removal efficiency in aqueous solutions using the electrochemical process and declared the acidic pH values as the optimum condition to remove the dye (16). Ganbari et al investigated the degradation of dye in aqueous solutions by electrolysis process to determine the effect of pH on dye removal (17). According to the results, the highest removal efficiency was reported at pH 3, which is in agreement with the results of the present study.

#### Effect of current density

In Figure 3B, the results of evaluation of the effect of the current density show that the removal efficiency of dye and COD increases with the increase in current density. The results of Table 5 indicate that the highest removal efficiency was obtained at the current density of 10 A/cm$^2$.

### Table 3. ANOVA for the decolorization using electrochemical process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>$F$ value</th>
<th>$P$ value, Prob&gt;F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>9562.01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>796.83</td>
<td>561.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-pH</td>
<td>1036.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>345.38</td>
<td>243.50</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-CD</td>
<td>3582.51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1194.17</td>
<td>841.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Co</td>
<td>2665.96</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>888.65</td>
<td>626.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Times</td>
<td>2277.39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>759.13</td>
<td>535.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>49.64</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>9611.65</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. ANOVA for the COD removal efficiency using electrochemical process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>$F$ value</th>
<th>$P$ value, Prob&gt;F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>7038.60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>586.55</td>
<td>64.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-pH</td>
<td>1100.95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>366.98</td>
<td>40.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-CD</td>
<td>2049.41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>683.14</td>
<td>74.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Co</td>
<td>1517.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>505.67</td>
<td>55.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Times</td>
<td>2371.24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>790.41</td>
<td>86.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>319.60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Model summary statistics tested for decolorization and COD removal efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>R-squared</th>
<th>Adjusted R-squared</th>
<th>Predicted R-squared</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation (%)</th>
<th>Adequate precision</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR18 dye removal</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.9931</td>
<td>0.9903</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>78.752</td>
<td>52.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD removal</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.9566</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.9183</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>29.665</td>
<td>41.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
current density are presented, and show that the removal efficiency of dye and COD was generally increased with an increase in the current density. Regarding to the performance of the current density, it can be concluded that by increasing the current density, which is also increased by the voltage applied by the device, more efficiency is obtained. In this experiment, the density of 20 mA/cm$^2$ was selected as the optimum value. This can be due to the production of hydroxyl radical, which increased the dye removal efficiency (18). It also increased the degradation efficiency with increase of the current density due to increasing the electrically production of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) followed by the production of hydroxyl radicals in the solution (19). In a study by Daneshvar et al on the decolorization of Acid Yellow 23 solutions using the electro-coagulation process, it was revealed that by increasing the current density, the removal efficiency of dye and COD also increased (20). Similar results were reported in the study of Massoudinejad et al, on the removal of the dye and COD by electro-coagulation; they also reported that with increase of the current density, the removal efficiency of dye and COD also increased (21).

Effect of initial dye concentration
As shown in Figure 3C, with an increase in the initial dye concentration from 25 to 150 mg/L, the removal efficiency was reduced. This can be explained as follows: by increasing the dye concentration in the solution, the number of dye molecules also increased and, as a result, the consumption of hydroxyl radicals by the dye molecules was more than the production of the radicals. A decrease in the number of free radicals compared to that of dye molecules, reduces the performance of the system in degrading the dye (22). In a study conducted by Mehralipour et al on the removal of the dye using the electrochemical oxidation, it was revealed that the removal efficiency decreased with increase of the dye concentration (14). In another study by Rajkumar et al, the electrochemical degradation process was utilized to remove dye and it was reported that the removal efficiency decreased with increase of the dye concentration (23).

Effect of reaction time
Figure 3D shows that the removal efficiency of dye and COD increased by increasing electrolysis time. In this study, the removal efficiency was increased up to 45 minutes, and then, decreased. The reason for this event can be explained by the fact that by increasing the reaction time, the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide into hydroxyl radicals is increased and, as a result, the production of hydrogen radicals in the environment is enhanced, and subsequently, led to an increase in the removal of the dye and COD by electro-coagulation.
removal of pollutants (24). In a study, Samarghandi et al., evaluated the electrochemical process in removing the dye from aqueous solutions and found out that by increasing the electrolysis time, the removal efficiency increased (25). Xiong et al in their study on the removal of dye and COD by electrochemical processes, concluded that by increasing the reaction time, the removal efficiency initially increased, and then, decreased due to parasitic and scavenging processes (26), which is consistent with the results of the present study.

Analysis of variance and the suitability of the model
In Tables 3 and 4, the meaningful factors and their interaction on the response are presented. In this case, A, B, C, D, which are pH, current density, initial concentration of dye, and reaction time, respectively, are meaningful terms of the model. The values of Prob>F was less than 0.05, which indicates that the model terms are meaningful. In addition, the results of analysis of variance showed that the regression model had a statistically significant relationship (P<0.0001) and also a high coefficient of determination for the removal efficiency of dye (R² = 0.99) and COD (R² = 0.95). Adequate precision (Adeq Precision) measures the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), and in general, the ratio higher than 4 is desirable (20). The obtained ratio for removal of dye and COD was 78.75 and 29.66, respectively, which indicates the suitability of the signal value (Table 5). This model can be used to navigate the design space.

Validation of statistical model
Figure 5 shows comparison of the actual values with predicted values for the removal efficiency of dye and COD. As shown in this figure, the predicted data were in good agreement with the laboratory data. To evaluate the adequacy of the model, the hypotheses of the mathematical model were examined using the diagnostic curve. In Figure 6 (A1 and B1), the colored points are the residuals, and if the points be close to the line, they indicate the normality of the residuals. As shown in this figure, since the colored points are close to the biseector line, the normality of the residuals can be approved (27). In Figure 6 (A2-3 and B2-3), the residual plots of the two models are distributed randomly and without any particular process and pattern, and due to the absence of any trend, it can be confirmed that the second order models selected are suitable, and therefore, the assumption of the normality of residuals is acceptable and can be used to predict the responses of dye and COD removal efficiency (28).

Conclusion
In the present study, the treatment of the synthetic wastewater was evaluated using titanium and graphite felt electrodes in the electro-coagulation process in an electrochemical batch reactor. Through a numerical optimization process, an applied current density of 20 mA/cm², initial pH of 3, initial dye concentration of 25 mg/L, and reaction time of 45 minutes were suggested as the optimal conditions. Under the optimal conditions, the highest removal of COD and dye was obtained to be 72.2% and 89%, respectively. The results showed that current density and reaction time are the effective parameters that influence the dye and COD removal efficiency. According to the results, the electrochemical reactor is considered as an effective alternative for the degradation of AR18 dye from aqueous solutions.
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