Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2023, 10(2), 235–236 http://ehemj.com

Open Access





CrossMark

doi 10.34172/EHEM.2023.26

Root cause analysis (RCA), a little-known tool for medical errors pathology during the COVID-19 pandemic

Mousa Bamir^{1,}, Atousa Pourshikhali², Ali Masoud¹

¹Department of Social Determinant of Health Research Center, Institute for Future Studies in Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

²Department of Health Services Management Research Center, Institute for Future Studies in Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

*Correspondence to: Mousa Bamir, Email: bamir@ut.ac.ir Citation: Bamir M, Pourshikhali A, Masoud A. Root cause analysis (RCA), a little-known tool for medical errors pathology during the COVID-19 pandemic. Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2023; 10(2): 235–236. doi: 10.34172/EHEM.2023.26.

Received: 15 March 2022, Accepted: 14 August 2022, ePublished: 28 March 2023

Dear Editor,

Medical errors are defined as "unintentional acts or events". Scientific estimates show that medical errors are among the most important causes of death globally (1). Medical mistake is the third leading cause of death in the United States and a significant problem in the European Union (2). These errors have many adverse effects, including mortality, prolongation of treatment, reduced quality of care, increased treatment costs, distrust of patients and clients in the health system, and thus, reduced performance of health forces (3).

The prevalence of COVID-19 has caused sleep disorders, increased irritability, frequent work shifts, intense work pressure, long hours of care with high stress and anxiety, which have had a significant impact on the decision and calculation of medical staff, and thus, increased medical errors (4). Because of failure to assess drug sensitivity due to high workload, prescribing drugs with incomplete instructions and misuse of drugs, errors based on the lack of experience and skills due to the sudden nature of COVID-19, poor knowledge and awareness of the virus, unfair service to patients due to scarce health system resources and a large number of patients, insufficient attention to non-patients, COVID-19 has increased medical errors compared to before (4).

Root cause analysis (RCA) is defined as a collective term that describes a wide range of approaches, tools, and techniques used to uncover causes of problems. Some RCA approaches are geared more towards identifying true root causes than others, some are more general problemsolving techniques, and others simply offer support for the core activity of RCA. There are many methodologies, approaches, and techniques for conducting RCA. A typical design of an RCA in a problem or patient is performed through the following steps: 1) A small team to conduct the RCA; 2) Team members that experiences the problem; 3) The analysis, equal emphasis is placed on defining and understanding the problem, brainstorming its possible causes, analyzing causes and effects, and devising a solution to the problem (5).

Quality of care, reducing medical errors and ensuring proper, efficient, and effective care have now received serious attention as a scientific branch in medical sciences (6). RCA is a systematic approach used in the world's health systems before the outbreak of COVID-19 to discover the causes of medical errors to take preventive measures. Scientific evidence shows that RCA significantly reduces medical errors if done correctly and completely. It seems that according to the experiences before the outbreak of the virus, the use of RCA to identify and analyze errors during the COVID-19 pandemic as a structured method and a valuable and efficient tool to investigate the mistakes caused by human factors and errors caused by facilities, equipment and service delivery processes are critical.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to gratitude Sirjan School of Medical Sciences and those who participated in this study.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Mousa Bamir, Atousa Pourshikhali. Formal analysis: Ali Masoud, Atousa Pourshikhali, Mousa Bamir. Investigation: Mousa Bamir. Project administration: Mousa Bamir. Resources: Ali Masoud. Supervision: Mousa Bamir. Writing – original draft: Mousa Bamir.

^{© 0 2023} The Author(s). Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Writing – review & editing: Ali Masoud, Atousa Pourshikhali, Mousa Bamir.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Ethical issues

The authors certify that this manuscript is the authors' original work. All data collected during the study are presented in this manuscript, and no data from the research has been or will be published elsewhere separately.

References

- 1. Sadr, S. The survey ethics of information electronic health records in the world. Journal of Islamic Organization of Forensic. 2012;8:13-7.
- 2. Makary MA, Daniel M. Medical error-the third leading

cause of death in the US. BMJ. 2016;353:i2139. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i2139.

- Sadat-Ali M, Al-Shafei BA, Al-Turki RA, Ahmed SE, Al-Abbas SA, Al-Omran AS. Medication administration errors in Eastern Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J. 2010;31(11):1257-9.
- Abbas A, Al-Otaibi T, Gheith OA, Nagib AM, Farid MM, Walaa M. Sleep quality among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on medical errors: Kuwait experience. Turk Thorac J. 2021;22(2):142-8. doi: 10.5152/TurkThoracJ.2021.20245.
- Pham JC, Kim GR, Natterman JP, Cover RM, Goeschel CA, Wu AW, et al. ReCASTing the RCA: an improved model for performing root cause analyses. Am J Med Qual. 2010;25(3):186-91. doi: 10.1177/1062860609359533.
- Charles R, Hood B, Derosier JM, Gosbee JW, Li Y, Caird MS, et al. How to perform a root cause analysis for workup and future prevention of medical errors: a review. Patient Saf Surg. 2016;10:20. doi: 10.1186/s13037-016-0107-8.