Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2022, 9(2), 147-155 http://ehemj.com

Open Access

Original Article



doi 10.34172/EHEM.2022.16

# Enhanced electro-Fenton processes by persulfate radical for atenolol oxidation from aqueous solution

Maryam Chatraee<sup>1</sup>, Afshin Ebrahimi<sup>2,3</sup>, Ali Fatehizadeh<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student Research Committee, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran <sup>3</sup>Environment Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

#### Abstract

**Background:** Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) are a group of emerging environmental pollutants that have attracted the attention of many researchers due to their harmful effects on aquatic environment, animals, and humans. Thus, it is necessary to remove these contaminants from water resources. This study aimed to assess the efficiency of enhanced electro-Fenton (EF) process by persulfate (PS) radical for removal of atenolol (ATL) from aqueous solutions.

**Methods:** The bench scale experimental setup was used to examine the factors affecting the ATL removal efficiency from synthetic wastewater; supporting electrolyte type, pH, contact time, PS concentration, Fe concentration, and ATL initial concentration.

**Results:** Based on the results, ATL removal efficiency of 78.6% was reached under the optimum conditions of enhanced EF process by PS radical. The optimum conditions included NaCl (as a supporting electrolyte) dose of 0.5 g/L, pH 5, contact time of 60 minutes, PS dose of 0.15 g/L, Fe dose of 0.15 g/L, current density (CD) of 1.5 A/m<sup>2</sup>, and ATL concentration of 40 mg/L. Kinetic model was following the second-order kinetics.

**Conclusion:** The studied advanced oxidation process (AOP) can effectively remove ATL from aqueous solutions. Therefore, it can be used as an effective technique for removing other organic matter from the wastewater.

**Keywords:** Atenolol, Environmental pollutants, Pharmaceuticals and cosmetics, Wastewater **Citation:** Chatraee M, Ebrahimi A, Fatehizadeh A. Enhanced electro-fenton processes by persulfate radical for atenolol oxidation from aqueous solution. Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2022; 9(2):147-155. doi: 10.34172/EHEM.2022.16. Article History: Received: 11 August 2021 Accepted: 6 October 2021 ePublished: 30 April 2022

\*Correspondence to: Afshin Ebrahimi, Email: a\_ebrahimi@hlth.mui.ac.ir

#### Introduction

In recent decades, the development of industry and the growth of human societies have arisen many synthetic organic compounds and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in aquatic environments (1, 2). Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) are two types of harmful micro-pollutants for human health, animals, and aquatic environment that are increasingly produced by pharmaceutical industries. Among them, beta-blockers (β-blockers) are an important subclass of PPCPs. Atenolol (ATL) is a β-blocker mostly used to treat hypertension, angina, and arrhythmia (3, 4). Conventional treatment techniques are unable to effectively remove the PPCPs (5). Some of these removal techniques have disadvantages, such as high costs, long operating time, and production of toxic by-products (6, 7). In order to solve the problems associated with these conventional purification methods, various advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been considered. These treatment processes can be divided into two classes: (a) conventional chemical treatments, and (b) AOPs (8). AOPs can be considered as potentially powerful approaches. These processes are consisted of photochemical, chemical, or

electrochemical techniques and capable of removing recalcitrant compounds (9, 10).

In-situ production of hydroxyl radicals (•OH) is the basis of AOPs for the degradation of organic contaminants. The •OH is a highly reactive, non-selective, and powerful radical in the oxidation of various compounds that has strong oxidation potential (E<sub>0</sub>=2.80 V) (8, 11-13). Electro-Fenton (EF) advanced oxidation process (EAOP) is a developed electrochemical oxidation process based on the constant source of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (14-16). Development of EAOP represents high efficacy of these processes in the degradation of aquatic solution polluted with toxic compounds and stable pesticides, synthetic organic dyes, pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and many industrial pollutants (17, 18). In the Fenton process, Fe<sup>+2</sup> ions and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> are used simultaneously to decompose and remove contaminants. The EF process is the name given to the production of Fe<sup>+2</sup> ions by iron electrodes with the help of an electrolytic system (5, 19). Studies have been performed to combine EF with chemicals to promote treatment efficacy. Persulfate (S2O8-2) has been considered as a possibly practicable

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

chemical oxidant for the oxidation of aquatic solution organic pollutants (1). Iranian researchers have not yet done any study on removal of ATL by this method. The present study evaluated the EF process which was improved by PS radical for degradation of ATL from aqueous solution. The effect of diverse operating conditions, such as pH, current density (CD) (I), ferrous ion (Fe<sup>+2</sup>) concentration, PS concentration, different reaction time, and ATL initial concentration were also investigated.

## Materials and Methods

## Materials

All the studied reagents and chemical compounds were analytical grade and without any additional purification. ATL (99%), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade acetonitrile (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) and methanol, potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>), potassium persulfate ( $k_2S_2O_8$ ), ferrous sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O), acid hydrochloric (HCl, 0.1 M), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 0.1 M), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium nitrate (NaNO<sub>3</sub>), sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and phosphoric acid (H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) were purchased from Merck Co. (Germany).

#### Experimental procedure

This experimental laboratory study was conducted at a 1000-mL glass beaker as a batch-processing reactor. Four iron electrodes and four graphite probes, each 200×20×2 mm (length, width, thickness), with a distance of 1 cm were hanged inside the reactor. A direct current (DC) power supply with alternate cathode and anode replacement connected to the electrodes was used to power them. A magnetic stirrer (Labor Technik) was applied to accomplish uniform mixing of the solution. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the experimental set-up used for the degradation of ATL solutions using enhanced EF by persulfate radicals (°PS). A stock solution of ATL at a concentration of 1000 mg/L was ready, and the aliquots were taken from it and diluted in the reaction cell to attain the initial concentration of 40 mg/L in the reacting solution. The required amounts of FeSO, 7H, O, NaCl, and PS were then added to the solution. The reaction pH, which was determined by a pH-meter (HQ-11d HACH instruments), was adjusted to a range of 3 to  $9\pm0.01$  by adding HCl and/or NaOH 0.1 M. Then, a centrifuge was used at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes to separate the samples, and their remaining ATL was measured using HPLC instrument.

#### Analysis methods

A HPLC system (WATERS, USA) equipped with an UV-VIS detector was used to determine the concentration of ATL in the samples. A  $C_{18}$  column was used for this analysis. The sample volume injection was 20 mL. The mobile phase comprised of acetonitrile and distilled water (60:40 v/v). To prepare buffer solution, 1.36 g/L potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) was added to distilled water, and then, the solution pH was adjusted to 3 at a flow rate of 1 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>. The wavelength used was 235 nm, and the ATL retention time was 10 minutes.

#### Results

In this study, the result of pH changes was examined in the range of 3 to 9 on enhanced EF processes through PS radicals for oxidation of ATL from aqueous solution. In this regard, the experiments were conducted with 40 mg/L of ATL solution, 0.025 g/L of PS, 0.025 g/L of  $FeSO_4$ .7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5 g/L of NaCl, and CD = 0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>. Figure 2 reveals that the ATL removal efficacies after 60 minutes for pH 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 were 74.6, 75.5, 76.6, 75.2, 72.8, 69.8, and 66.8, respectively, indicating that the ATL removal efficiency is significantly influenced by the solution pH.

The supportive electrolyte affects the produced current and energy consumption of the process by increasing the solution conductivity. The effect of the type of electrolyte (NaCl, NaNO<sub>3</sub>, and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) on the effectiveness of the EF process was investigated. Figures 3 and 4 show that the removal efficiency



Figure 2. The effect of pH on removal of ATL from aqueous solution (ATL= 40 mg/L, CD=  $0.7 \text{ A/m}^2$ , NaCl= 0.5 g/L, PS= 0.025 g/L, time= 60 min, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.025 g/L).



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up used for the degradation of ATL solutions (The anodes were Fe and cathodes were graphite electrodes).

of ATL was higher after using NaCl as electrolytic supports compared to other salts. In addition, Figure 4 shows the effect of NaCl concentration on oxidation of ATL from aqueous solution.

According to Figure 5, the removal efficiency of ATL increased linearly with reaction time. This performance could be due to the occurrence of the adequate ATL molecules in the solution, which can contact with the electrodes surface and react with electro-generate °OH.

To adjust PS concentration, the effect of several concentrations of PS (0.025-0.150 g/L) were analyzed at ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, contact time= 60 min, CD= 0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.025



Figure 3. The effect of supporting electrolyte type on removal of ATL from aqueous solution.



Figure 4. The effect of NaCl concentration on removal of ATL from aqueous solution (ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, CD=0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>, PS= 0.025 g/L, time= 60 min, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.025 g/L).



Figure 5. The effect of contact time on removal of ATL from aqueous solution (ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, CD= 0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>, NaCl= 0.5 g/L, PS= 0.025 g/L, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.025 g/L).

g/L, and NaCl= 0.5 g/L. The results showed that ATL removal efficiency improved by increasing PS concentration. Figure 6 shows that the removal efficiency of ATL in the EF process by °PS improved from 61.8 to 78.0% by increasing PS concentration from 0.025 to 0.150 g/L.

The effect of different CD (0.25, 0.5, 0.7, 1, 1.25, and 1.5 A/m<sup>2</sup>) was analyzed at ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, reaction time= 60 min,  $FeSO_4.7H_2O= 0.025$  g/L, and NaCl= 0.5 g/L. Figure 7 revealed that ATL removal efficiency increased in the EF process by °PS by increasing CD.

To optimize the Fe concentrations for removing ATL by the EF process, the required amount of  $FeSO_4.7H_2O$  was added to the solution. The effect of diverse concentrations of  $Fe^{+2}$  (0.025-0.15 g/L) was investigated at ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, CD= 0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>, NaCl= 0.5 g/L, and PS= 0.15 g/L. Figure 8 indicates that ATL removal efficiency in the process increased from 75.8 to 86.3 by increasing the Fe<sup>+2</sup> concentration from 0.025 to 0.15 g/L.

Figure 9 shows the effect of the initial ATL concentrations (20–120 mg/L) on the enhanced EF by PS radicals process. As shown in this figure, by increasing ATL concentration from 20 to 120 mg/L, the removal efficiency decreased.

### Discussion Effect of pH

pH generally plays a significant role in AOPs; since it affects specific organic matter and the production of a variety of important radicals. It also affects the creation of the species of transition metals and their availability to react with the



Figure 6. The effect of PS on removal of ATL from aqueous solution (ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, CD= 0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>, NaCl= 0.5 g/L, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.025 g/L).



Figure 7. The effect of CD on ATL removal from aqueous solution (ATL= 40 mg/L, pH=5, NaCl= 0.5 g/L, FeSO4.7H2O= 0.025 g/L, and PS= 0.15 g/L).



Figure 8. The effect of  $FeSO_4.7H_2O$  concentration on ATL removal efficiency (ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, CD=  $0.7A/m^2$ , NaCl= 0.5 g/L, and PS= 0.15 g/L).



Figure 9. The effect of concentration on removal of ALT from aqueous solution (pH= 5, CD=  $1.5 \text{ A/m}^2$ , NaCl= 0.5 g/L, PS= 0.15g/L, contact time= 60 min, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.15 g/L).

oxidant (20, 21). The EF process is very sensitive to pH changes, indicating that pH can affect the solubility of iron, complexion, and the oxidation and reduction cycle between 2+ and 3+ states of iron, consequently, the stability of the ferrous ion depends on the pH of the solution (22, 23), as in this study, the optimum pH was at 5. Liu et al showed that increasing the solution pH from 3 to 9 enhanced the ATL removal due to the pH dependence of the photo-decomposition process of peroxymonosulfate (PMS,  $HSO_{5}^{-}$  (3). Shi et al reported that  $O_{2}^{-}$  was simply converted into HO, in acidic environments, and the ATL degradation rate was lower at pH 2.4 than pH 3.3 (4). According to Figure 2, pH changes in the range of 3 to 9 were surveyed on the enhanced EF processes through PS radicals for ATL oxidation from aqueous solution. Figure 2 shows that the ATL removal efficiencies after 60 min at pH 3-9 decreased from 74.6% to 66.8%, indicating that the ATL removal efficiency was significantly affected by the solution pH. At pH greater than 5, Fe<sup>3+</sup> species began to precipitate as Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>, so by decreasing the catalyst amount in the solution and converting the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> into O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, a drop in the 'OH generation rate occurred (Eq. 1), and also, the process efficiency decreased (21, 24-27).

$$\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O}_{2} \rightarrow \left[\operatorname{Fe}\left(\operatorname{OH}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + \operatorname{OH} + \operatorname{OH}$$
(1)

## Effect of electrolyte type

As can be seen in Figure 3, the removal efficiency of ATL was higher using NaCl than the other supportive electrolytes (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and NaNO<sub>3</sub>). In other words, the order of these three salts in terms of the effect on the removal efficiency of ATL is NaCl >

 $Na_{3}SO_{4} > NaNO_{3}$ , respectively.

Figure 4 also shows the effect of NaCl concentration on ATL oxidation from aqueous solution. By increasing the NaCl concentrations in the range of 0.25-2 g/L in the solution, the ATL removal efficiency decreased from about 70% to less than 60%. Many studies have found NaCl as the best auxiliary electrolyte (28, 29). The NaCl usage has benefits, so the chloride ions could considerably diminish the contrary results of other anions, like HCO3<sup>-</sup> and SO4<sup>2-</sup>. The existence of carbonate ions results in Ca2+ or Mg2+ ions precipitation that form a protecting layer on the electrodes surface. This insulating layer rapidly increases the ohmic resistance of the electrochemical cell and causes a significant reduction in the efficiency of CD and decomposition of the compound. The existence of chlorine ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>) and free OH concurrently (synergic) improves and increases the degradation of the organic compound. Finally, the high efficiency and comparative inexpensiveness of NaCl have led to its use as an optimal electrolyte. Another study evaluated the impact of electrolyte concentration on the degradation profile with varying the electrolyte in the range of 250 to 2000 mg/L (including NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and NaNO<sub>3</sub>). According to their results, by increasing the NaCl concentration due to increasing the number of Cl- accelerating the pitting corrosion and escalating the degradation efficiency, the efficiency for EF process increased, and among them, NaCl has the most effect on the ATL degradation (24).

#### Effect of contact time and kinetics

Figure 5 shows the effect of contact time on ATL oxidation from aqueous solution. In this figure, by increasing the contact time of reaction, the ATL removal efficiency increased. The reaction occurred as interpreted in Eq. (2):

$$O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2 \tag{2}$$

Based on Eq. (2), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is formed and the ferrous ion is released from the sacrificial anode and reacts with  $H_2O_2$  (Eq. 3), which initiates the Fenton reaction and produces homogeneous •OH. The generated oxidants can react with ATL molecules and convert them to CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and intermediates. Longer reaction times make the aforementioned mechanism more effective and increase the ATL removal efficiency. Wu et al conducted a study on the iron-mediated activation of PS using catechin for removal of ATL from water samples. In their study, an optimal CAT concentration (5 µM) was utilized for systems containing 50 µM of Fe3+ and 100 µM of PS to limit the competitive reaction with generated radicals and ATL. The high degradation extent of 10 µM ATL could be achieved in the dark after 60 min (approximately 50%) (25), also, the kinetic model of reaction was following the second-order kinetics, which is similar to the present study.

$$Fe^{2+} H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- + OH^-$$
(3)  
$$Fe(s) \rightarrow Fe(e_0)^{2+} + 2e^-$$
(4)

The purpose of the reaction kinetics study is to evaluate the efficiency of the EF process during the reaction time to remove the desired contaminant (30). Reaction kinetics basically show how the contaminant was removed, which can be used to better modeling and design the process to remove contaminants at a practical scale (31).

A reaction in which the concentration power of all substances in the velocity equation is zero and the reaction rate is independent of the concentration of the reactants is called the zero-order kinetic. This causes the zero-order kinetic to progress at a constant rate over time as the reactant is consumed and its concentration decreases. In zero-order kinetic, the slope of the "concentration-time" curve is constant, because the rate of reaction is constant. But the slope of the "speed-time" curve is zero.

A reaction in which the sum of the capacitance of the concentration expressions in the velocity equation is 1, is called the first-order kinetic. That is, the reaction rate varies with the power of one relative to the concentration. It is a line that can be calculated. A reaction in which the sum of the powers of the concentration expressions in the velocity equation is 2, is called the second-order kinetic. In formulas,  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$ , are the constant order of reaction speed of zero, one, and two-order kinetics, respectively. Also, "t" is the reaction time in minutes and C (mg/L) is the final concentration of the reaction after the elapsed time and  $C_0$  (mg/L) is the initial concentration of ATL in the solution. The equations used for calculating zero-, first-, and second-order kinetics are as below (5 to 7):

Linear shape of first-order kinetics:  $\ln C/C_0 = -K_1 t$ 

Linear shape of second-order kinetics:

 $1/C - 1/C_0 = -K_2 t$ 

According to the calculations performed to investigate the kinetic models during the reaction, the results showed that the ATL removal reactions using the EF process follow the second-order kinetic model with linear regression  $R^2 = 0.9572$ . This means that the reaction rate is related to the capacitance of 2 concentrations for ATL. Also, in the study of Liu et al, ATL degradation similar to this study, was following the second-order kinetics (3).

In a study by Gao et al, the effect of kinetics models on the

removal efficiency of sulfamethazine was examined. In this study, the PS/UV process followed zero-order kinetics (32). The results of the study of Acosta-Rangel et al show that reaction kinetics for removal of bisphenol A using  $UV/H_2O_2$  and  $UV/K_2S_2O_8$  processes followed the first-order reaction (33), which is not consistent with the results of the present study. Figure 10 shows the kinetic models for zero-, first-, and second-order kinetics of the present study.

#### Effect of PS concentration

As shown in Figure 6, by increasing the PS concentration from 0.025 to 0.15 g/L, the ATL removal efficiency enhanced from about 62% to 78%. It is noted that the concentration of oxidizing agents in the environment is the most important factor in the formation of SO4- radicals. As the concentration of oxidizing compounds increases, the production of sulfate radicals enhances, and consequently, the rate of decomposition reaction of ATL increases. New AOPs based on the activation methods by SO. radical have higher redox potential (2.5-3.1 V), higher selectivity, and longer half-life compared to the traditional AOPs based on •OH. Usually, these radical properties of SO4 are obtained by activating PS or PMS in various methods, such as thermal, alkaline, ultraviolet light, activated carbon, ultrasound, transition metal oxides, and metal ions, such as Fe2+ (1, 21). Therefore, they can quickly convert PS into SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> radicals, and eventually, continuous generation of ferrous species assisted the EF-PS (1).

## Effect of applied current density

Figure 7 represents the effect of CD on removal of ATL from aqueous solution. The CD is a key factor in the EF process; meanwhile it governs a large number of reactions. It affected the Fenton's substance production rate and homogeneous •OH



(5)

(6)

(7)

(c)

Figure 10. Kinetics for ATL degradation: a) Zero-order; b) First-order; and c) Second-order. (ATL= 40 mg/L, pH= 5, CD= 0.7 A/m<sup>2</sup>, NaCl= 0.5 g/L, PS= 0.025 g/L, FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O= 0.025 g/L).

generation rate in the bulk solution through the Fenton reaction (34). The present study investigated the CD effect on the ATL removal efficiency. The results showed that the rate of ATL mineralization was greater by increasing the CD (Figure 7). Growing CD causes a rapid ferrous production and reproduction of ferric, and improvement in the PS breakdown, and the SO radicals generation, and accordingly, increases the removal efficiency of ATL (35, 36). The enhanced CD can quicken the transfer of electron among the reactive solutions and progress the oxygen reduction reaction. In addition, at a higher CD, the anodic oxidation would increase, and produce more dissolved oxygen (DO). These two factors produce more H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at higher CDs (37). Yu et al reported that by increasing the CD from 10 to 50 A/m<sup>2</sup>, the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production developed significantly from 152.5 to 472.9 mg/L. However, with further increase in CD to 60 A/m<sup>2</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production efficiency decreased to about 66% (37). ATL degradation was completely removed in both electrodes and reactors, except for the lowest CD (33 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>), in the study of Mora-Gómez et al (38).

#### Effect of Fe concentration

As revealed in Figure 8, removal efficiency of ATL in the process increased from 75.8 to 86.3 by increasing the Fe<sup>+2</sup> concentration from 0.025 to 0.15 g/L. The ferrous ions act as catalysts for the decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and convert it into reactive forms (•OH) for the oxidation of the ATL molecules. The ferrous ion plays a considerable role in the initial decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion concentration was shown to have a remarkable impact on total organic carbon (TOC) removal in the EF process (39, 40). This process is one of the electrochemical methods in which H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and electro-generated ferrous ions, which are produced by oxidation of iron in the sacrificial anode, and can be explained according to Eq. (8): (8)

 $Fe^{+2} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{+3} + OH^- + OH^-$ 

The ferrous ion acts as a catalyst in the Fenton process. As a whole, Fe2+ ions are rapidly depleted and the production of •OH is primarily dependent on the  $H_2O_2/Fe^{3+}$  ratio (7, 41, 42). Increasing the concentration of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions as a supplement accelerates the production of •OH, and ultimately, increases the oxidation rate of aniline (35, 43-45). The Fe<sup>+2</sup> concentrations have an important effect on the trimethoprim degradation. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition cannot be effectively occurred into •OH with inadequate quantities of Fe<sup>+2</sup>. Additional amounts of Fe<sup>+2</sup> can act as a scavenger for •OH and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> radicals, and this excess Fe<sup>+2</sup> can form complexes with organic pollutants. Therefore, it is useful to

Table 1 Comparative evaluation of the results for this study with provious studie

determine the optimal concentration of Fe<sup>+2</sup> after determining the concentration of the oxidizing agent. In a study, when the molar ratio of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sup>+2</sup> was 10:1, the highest removal efficiency for the trimethoprim compound was obtained in the Fenton process (9). EF process was used to assess the ATL degradation in aqueous solutions. Optimal conditions including 250 mL of ATL solution (0.17 mM), at initial pH 3, applied current (100-500 mA), ferrous ions concentration (1-10 mM), and sulfate dosage (0.01-0.5 M) were studied. Also, kinetic analysis indicated that ATL mineralization followed a pseudo-first-order model and the rate constant increased by increasing the concentration of ferrous ions (up to 5 mM), electrolyte amount, and rising CD. More than 87% of COD was removed under optimal contact time of 240 min and ferrous ions concentration higher than 5 mM (46).

#### Effect of initial ATL concentration

Figure 9 represents the effect of initial concentration of ATL on its EF oxidation from aqueous solution. It is known that increasing the pollutants initial concentration requires higher oxidation potential, and a constant oxidant quantity, decreases the process efficiency. In reactions based on sulfate and •OH where the initial concentration of the contaminant is high, it is important to determine the intermediates. This means that high initial contaminant concentrations can lead to competition between contaminant molecules and intermediates in reaction with OH or  $SO_4^{-}$  radicals. As a result, the degradation rate may decrease at higher initial concentrations of the contaminant (24, 25). Table 1 shows the results for the present study in comparison with the previous studies.

#### Conclusion

The present study confirmed that enhanced EF by PS radicals is the most effective oxidizing process for ATL oxidation from aqueous solution. The effect of several parameters like pH, electrolyte type, PS dose, FeSO, 7H, O dose, reaction time, CD, and ATL concentration was studied. Based on the obtained results, the optimum conditions of enhanced EF process by PS radicals for ATL oxidation were determined. Accordingly, NaCl (as a supporting electrolyte) with a dose of 0.5 mg/L, pH 5, reaction time of 60 min, PS dose of 0.15 g/L, Fe dose of 0.15 g/L, CD of 1.5 A/m<sup>2</sup>, and ATL concentration of 40 mg/L with removal efficiency of 78.6% was determined. Also, kinetic model was in accordance with second-order kinetics. One of the limitations of this study is that it did not measure the residual

| Pollutant/removal method                           | рН  | Contact time<br>(min)          | Initial concentration<br>of pollutant | Fe<br>concentration                | Current<br>density         | PS<br>concentration | Electrolyte<br>type                             | References       |
|--|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------|
| ATL, combination of electrolysis and UV photolysis | 7   | 40 min when MMO<br>is an anode | 8 µM                                  | -                                  | 10 mA/<br>cm <sup>2</sup>  | -                   | NaCI:30 mM                                      | (47)             |
| ATL, sunlight/Fe <sup>3+</sup> /persulfate         | 6.5 | 60 min                         | 2.5 mg/L                              | Fe (III)-DFOB<br>complex: 15<br>µM | -                          | 500 µM              | Cl <sup>.</sup> :100 mg/L                       | (48)             |
| Kketoprofen, persulfate<br>activation Fe-APS       | 8.2 | -                              | 39.33 µM                              | 0.05 mM                            | -                          | 2.5 mM              | -   | (49)             |
| ATL in water, UV/<br>peroxymonosulfate             | 7   | 30 min                         | 20 µM                                 | -                                  | -                          | -                   | Humic acid:8<br>mg/L<br>8 mM HCO <sub>3</sub> - | (3)              |
| ATL in water, electro-Fenton (EC- $H_2O_2$ and PS) | 7   | -                              | 2.5 mg/L                              | -                                  | 7.8 mA<br>cm <sup>-2</sup> | 0.15 mM             | C <sub>NaCl</sub> =1000<br>mg L <sup>-1</sup>   | (24)             |
| ATL, wastewater                                    | 5   | 60                             | 40 mg/L                               | 0.15 g/L                           | 1.5 A/m <sup>2</sup>       | 0.15 g/L            | NaCl:0.5<br>mg/L                                | Present<br>study |

concentration of iron in the solution, and also, did not determine the concentration or type of intermediate compounds produced for financial reasons. Finally, the enhanced EF process by PS radicals can effectively remove ATL from aquatic solutions; therefore, this process can be used as an effective method for removing other organic matter from the wastewater.

#### Acknowledgments

This study is the result of an MSc thesis (No. 397620) approved by Isfahan University of Medical Sciences and the Environment Research Center at School of Health. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Deputy of Student Research Committee, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, for providing financial support and credit requirements of the thesis.

#### **Ethical issues**

This research project was also approved by the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences )Ethical code: IR.MUI. RESEARCH.REC.1397.397).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### Authors' contributions

MC: Conceptualizing, methodology, writing original draft, review and editing, validation, data curation, and formal analysis. AE: Supervision, project administration, methodology, review and editing. AF: Data curation, review and editing.

#### References

- Fard MA, Barkdoll B. Effects of oxalate and persulfate addition to Electrofenton and Electrofenton-Fenton processes for oxidation of Ketoprofen: Determination of reactive species and mass balance analysis. Electrochim Acta 2018; 265: 209-20. doi: 10.1016/j.electacta.2018.01.153.
- Richmond EK, Grace MR, Kelly JJ, Reisinger AJ, Rosi EJ, Walters DM. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) are ecological disrupting compounds (EcoDC). Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene 2017; 5: 66. doi: 10.1525/elementa.252.
- Liu X, Zhang T, Zhou Y, Fang L, Shao Y. Degradation of atenolol by UV/peroxymonosulfate: Kinetics, effect of operational parameters and mechanism. Chemosphere 2013; 93(11): 2717-24. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.08.090.
- Shi Y, Chen H, Wu Y, Dong W. Degradation of atenolol via heterogeneous activation of persulfate by using BiOCl@ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst under simulated solar light irradiation. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2018; 25(1): 693-703. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-0256-z.
- Wang J, Wang S. Removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) from wastewater: A review. J Environ Manage 2016; 182: 620-40. doi: 10.1016/j. jenvman.2016.07.049.
- He M, Wan Z, Tsang DC, Sun Y, Khan E, Hou D, et al. Performance indicators for a holistic evaluation of catalystbased degradation-A case study of selected pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs). J Hazard Mater 2021; 402: 123460. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123460.
- 7. Oluwole AO, Omotola EO, Olatunji OS. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in water and wastewater: A

review of treatment processes and use of photocatalyst immobilized on functionalized carbon in AOP degradation. BMC Chem 2020; 14: 62. doi: 10.1186/s13065-020-00714-1.

- Sohrabi MR, Khavaran A, Shariati S, Shariati S. Removal of Carmoisine edible dye by Fenton and photo Fenton processes using Taguchi orthogonal array design. Arab J Chem 2017; 10(2): 3523-31. doi: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2014.02.019.
- Wang S, Wang J. Trimethoprim degradation by Fenton and Fe(II)-activated persulfate processes. Chemosphere 2018; 191: 97-105. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.040.
- 10. Mazivila SJ, Ricardo IA, Leitao JM, da Silva JCE. A review on advanced oxidation processes: From classical to new perspectives coupled to two-and multi-way calibration strategies to monitor degradation of contaminants in environmental samples. Trends in Environmental Analytical Chemistry 2019; 24: e00072. doi: 10.1016/j. teac.2019.e00072.
- Asghar A, Raman AAA, Daud WMAW. Advanced oxidation processes for in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide/hydroxyl radical for textile wastewater treatment: A review. J Clean Prod 2015; 87: 826-38. doi: 10.1016/j. jclepro.2014.09.010.
- 12. Liu Y, Zhao Y, Wang J. Fenton/Fenton-like processes with in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide/hydroxyl radical for degradation of emerging contaminants: Advances and prospects. J Hazard Mater 2021; 404: 124191. doi: 10.1016/j. jhazmat.2020.124191.
- Wang J, Wang S. Effect of inorganic anions on the performance of advanced oxidation processes for degradation of organic contaminants. Chemical Engineering Journal 2021; 411: 128392. doi: 10.1016/j. cej.2020.128392.
- An J, Li N, Wu Y, Wang S, Liao C, Zhao Q, et al. Revealing decay mechanisms of h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub>-based electrochemical advanced oxidation processes after long-term operation for phenol degradation. Environ Sci Technol 2020; 54(17): 10916-25. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.0c03233.
- Colades JI, Huang CP, Retumban JD, Garcia-Segura S, de Luna MDG. Electrochemically-driven dosing of iron (II) for autonomous electro-Fenton processes with in situ generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. J Electroanal Chem (Lausanne) 2020; 856: 113639. doi: 10.1016/j.jelechem.2019.113639.
- Ganzenko O, Trellu C, Papirio S, Oturan N, Huguenot D, van Hullebusch ED, et al. Bioelectro-Fenton: Evaluation of a combined biological-advanced oxidation treatment for pharmaceutical wastewater. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2018; 25(21): 20283-92. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-8450-6.
- Ozturk H, Barıscı S, Turkay O. Paracetamol degradation and kinetics by advanced oxidation processes (AOPs): Electro-peroxone, ozonation, goethite catalyzed electrofenton and electro-oxidation. Environ Eng Res 2021; 26(2): 180332. doi: 10.4491/eer.2018.332.
- Yang W, Zhou M, Oturan N, Li Y, Oturan MA. Electrocatalytic destruction of pharmaceutical imatinib by electro-Fenton process with graphene-based cathode. Electrochim Acta 2019; 305: 285-94. doi: 10.1016/j. electacta.2019.03.067.
- Sopaj F, Oturan N, Pinson J, Podvorica FI, Oturan MA. Effect of cathode material on electro-Fenton process efficiency for electrocatalytic mineralization of the

antibiotic sulfamethazine. Chem Eng J 2020; 384: 123249. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2019.123249.

- Farshid G, Mahsa M. Application of peroxymonosulfate and its activation methods for degradation of environmental organic pollutants: Review. Chem Eng J 2017; 310: 41-62. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.064.
- Wu Y, Fang Z, Shi Y, Chen H, Liu Y, Wang Y, et al. Activation of peroxymonosulfate by BiOCl@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst for the degradation of atenolol: Kinetics, parameters, products and mechanism. Chemosphere 2019; 216: 248-57. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.012.
- 22. Fischbacher A, von Sonntag C, Schmidt TC. Hydroxyl radical yields in the Fenton process under various pH, ligand concentrations and hydrogen peroxide/Fe(II) ratios. Chemosphere 2017; 182: 738-44. doi: 10.1016/j. chemosphere.2017.05.039.
- Nidheesh PV, Olvera-Vargas H, Oturan N, Oturan MA. Heterogeneous electro-fenton process: Principles and applications. In: Zhou M, Oturan M, Sires I (Eds). Lectrofenton process: New Trends and scale-up. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd; 2017. P. 1-27. doi: 10.1007/698\_2017\_72.
- Govindan K, Sumanasekara VD, Jang A. Mechanisms for degradation and transformation of β-blocker atenolol via electrocoagulation, electro-Fenton, and electro-Fenton-like processes. Environ Sci 2020; 6(1): 1465-81. doi: 10.1039/ D0EW00114G.
- 25. Wu Y, Monfort O, Dong W, Brigante M, Mailhot G. Enhancement of iron-mediated activation of persulfate using catechin: From generation of reactive species to atenolol degradation in water. Sci Total Environ 2019; 697: 134188. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134188.
- Chen Y, Wang A, Zhang Y, Bao R, Tian X, Li J. Electro-Fenton degradation of antibiotic ciprofloxacin (CIP): Formation of Fe<sup>3+</sup>-CIP chelate and its effect on catalytic behavior of Fe<sup>2+</sup>/ Fe<sup>3+</sup> and CIP mineralization. Electrochim Acta 2017; 256: 185-95. doi: 10.1016/j.electacta.2017.09.173.
- Chhaya TR, Prajapati SK. Bioremediation of pharmaceuticals in water and wastewater. In: Shah M. (Eds). Microbial bioremediation & biodegradation; 2020. P. 425-46. Singapore: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-1812-6\_16.
- Ahmad A, Priyadarshini M, Das S, Ghangrekar MM. Electrocoagulation as an efficacious technology for the treatment of wastewater containing active pharmaceutical compounds: A review. Sep Sci Technol 2021; 1-23. doi: 10.1080/01496395.2021.1972011.
- 29. Joy MTR, Mahbub S, Rub MA, Hossain MAA, Hossain MD, Khatun MJ, et al. Interaction of crystal violet dye with dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide in aqueous and electrolyte medium at different temperatures. J Mol Liq 2021; 343: 117592. doi: 10.1016/j.molliq.2021.117592.
- Jafari K, Heidari M, Rahmanian O. Wastewater treatment for amoxicillin removal using magnetic adsorbent synthesized by ultrasound process. Ultrason Sonochem 2018; 45: 248-56. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.03.018.
- Secula MS, Cagnon B, de Oliveira TF, Chedeville O, Fauduet H. Removal of acid dye from aqueous solutions by electrocoagulation/GAC adsorption coupling: Kinetics and electrical operating costs. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 2012; 43(5): 767-75. doi: 10.1016/j.

jtice.2012.03.003.

- 32. Gao Yq, Gao Ny, Deng Y, Yang Yq, Ma Y. Ultraviolet (UV) light-activated persulfate oxidation of sulfamethazine in water. Chem Eng J 2012; 195: 248-53. doi: 10.1016/j. cej.2012.04.084.
- Acosta-Rangel A, Sanchez-Polo M, Polo A, Rivera-Utrilla J, Berber-Mendoza M. Sulfonamides degradation assisted by UV, UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and UV/K2S2O8: Efficiency, mechanism and byproducts cytotoxicity. J Environ Manage 2018; 225: 224-31. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.06.097.
- 34. Ganzenko O, Oturan N, Huguenot D, Van Hullebusch ED, Esposito G, Oturan MA. Removal of psychoactive pharmaceutical caffeine from water by electro-Fenton process using BDD anode: Effects of operating parameters on removal efficiency. Sep Purif Technol 2015; 156: 987-95. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2015.09.055.
- 35. Rahmani AR, Rezaeivahidian H, Almasi M, Shabanlo A, Almasi H. A comparative study on the removal of phenol from aqueous solutions by electro–Fenton and electro– persulfate processes using iron electrodes. Research on Chemical Intermediates 2016; 42(2): 1441-50. doi: 10.1007/ s11164-015-2095-1.
- Wu J, Zhang H, Qiu J. Degradation of Acid Orange 7 in aqueous solution by a novel electro/Fe<sup>2+</sup>/peroxydisulfate process. J Hazard Mater 2012; 215: 138-45. doi: 10.1016/j. jhazmat.2012.02.047.
- Yu F, Zhou M, Yu X. Cost-effective electro-Fenton using modified graphite felt that dramatically enhanced on H2O2 electro-generation without external aeration. Electrochim Acta 2015; 163: 182-9. doi: 10.1016/j.electacta.2015.02.166.
- Mora-Gomez J, Garcia-Gabaldon M, Carrillo-Abad J, Montanes M, Mestre S, Perez-Herranz V. Influence of the reactor configuration and the supporting electrolyte concentration on the electrochemical oxidation of Atenolol using BDD and SnO2 ceramic electrodes. Sep Purif Technol 2020; 241: 116684. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2020.116684.
- Dai C, Shi S, Chen D, Liu J, Huang L, Zhang J, et al. Study on the mechanism of tetracycline removal in electrocoagulation coupled with electro-fenton reaction system with Fe anode and carbon nanotube cathode. Chemical Engineering Journal 2022; 428: 131045. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2021.131045.
- 40. Gormez O, Gormez F, Gozmen B. Comparison of the heterogeneous GO-FePO<sub>4</sub>/electro-Fenton against the homogeneous Fe (II) ion and Fe (III)-oxalate complex/ electro-Fenton for the degradation of metronidazole. Journal of Water Process Engineering 2021; 43: 102265. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102265.
- 41. Adimi M, Mohammadpour M, Fathinejadjirandehi H. Treatment of petrochemical wastewater by modified electro-fenton method with nano porous aluminum electrode. J Water Environ Nanotechnol 2017; 2(3): 186-94. doi: 10.22090/jwent.2017.03.006.
- 42. Pawar V, Gawande S. An overview of the Fenton process for industrial wastewater. IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering 2015; 2: 127-36.
- 43. Ahmadi M, Haghighifard NJ, Soltani RDC, Tobeishi M, Jorfi S. Treatment of a saline petrochemical wastewater containing recalcitrant organics using Electro-Fenton process: Persulfate and ultrasonic intensification. Desalination Water Treat 2019; 169: 241-50. doi: 10.5004/

dwt.2019.24682.

- Long Y, Feng Y, Li X, Suo N, Chen H, Wang Z, et al. Removal of diclofenac by three-dimensional electro-Fentonpersulfate (3D electro-Fenton-PS). Chemosphere 2019; 219: 1024-31. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.054.
- Varank G, Guvenc SY, Dincer K, Demir A. Concentrated leachate treatment by electro-fenton and electro-persulfate processes using central composite design. Intl J Environ Res 2020; 14(4): 439-61. doi: 10.1007/s41742-020-00269-y.
- 46. El-Hanafi N, Mehibel L, Li HZ, Poncin S, Bensadok K. Mineralization of the pharmaceutical β-blocker atenolol by means of indirect electrochemical advanced oxidation process: Parametric and kinetic study. Sep Sci Technol 2014; 49(18): 2942-50. doi: 10.1080/01496395.2014.943771.
- 47. Zhu S, Dong B, Zhou S. Degradation of atenolol with

electrochemical oxidation at mixed metal oxide electrodes assisted by UV photolysis. CLEAN–Soil, Air, Water 2018; 46(4): 1700077. doi: 10.1002/clen.201700077.

- 48. Wu Y, Qiu T, Wang Y, Liu H, Sun W, Dong W, et al. Application of a microbial siderophore desferrioxamine B in sunlight/Fe<sup>3+</sup>/persulfate system: From the radical formation to the degradation of atenolol at neutral pH. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2020; 27(29): 36782-8. doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-09692-2.
- 49. Amasha M, Baalbaki A, Ghauch A. A comparative study of the common persulfate activation techniques for the complete degradation of an NSAID: The case of ketoprofen. Chemical Engineering Journal 2018; 350: 395-410. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2018.05.118.